

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



CNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gev

DATE MAILED: 07/06/2004

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/083,894	02/27/2002	Michael S. Brown	UTSD:249USC2 3278	
7590 07/06/2004			EXAMINER	
David L. Parker, Esq.			GITOMER, RALPH J	
FULBRIGHT & JAWORSKI L.L.P. Suite 2400			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
600 Congress Avenue			1651	
Austin, TX 78	3701		DATE MADE ED OF OCCORD	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/083,894	BROWN ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Ralph Gitomer	1651				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1: after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 19 M	ay 2004.					
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						
•—	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 37-42 and 52 is/are pending in the ap 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 37-42 and 52 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r. ·					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents * See the attached detailed Office action for a list 	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage				
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:					
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	3/ <u> </u>					

Art Unit: 1651

Applicant's election without traverse of Group I, claims 37-42, 52 in the reply filed on 5/19/04 is acknowledged.

The parent to this application, application No. 07/937,893, had an adverse decision regarding an interference proceeding and is now in interference with another application. None of the interference proceedings nor the parent application are available to the examiner. The result of such a ruling would require a rejection but such a rejection cannot be made until such time as the file is available. A copy of the decision is requested. Please verify all sequence requirements have been met.

Please inform the examiner as to which prior related application first disclosed the presently claimed material so as to determine the proper priority date for each claim.

After all the issues set forth in this Office Action have been fully addressed, further searching and consideration may be required.

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Art Unit: 1651

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 37-42, 52 are provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 37-39, 41-42, 52-56 of copending Application No. 07/937,893. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because some of the present claims include additional method steps such as administering the candidate substance to a patient.

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

Claims 37-42, 52 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 6-15 of U.S. Patent No. 5,962,243. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because '243 includes additional limitations directed to the substrate.

Claims 37-42, 52 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being obvious over Brown (5,962,243).

The applied reference has a common inventor with the instant application. Based upon the earlier effective U.S. filing date of the reference, it constitutes prior art only under 35 U.S.C. 102(e). This rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) might be overcome by: (1) a showing under 37 CFR 1.132 that any invention disclosed but not claimed in the reference was derived from the inventor of this

Art Unit: 1651

application and is thus not an invention "by another"; (2) a showing of a date of invention for the claimed subject matter of the application which corresponds to subject matter disclosed but not claimed in the reference, prior to the effective U.S. filing date of the reference under 37 CFR 1.131; or (3) an oath or declaration under 37 CFR 1.130 stating that the application and reference are currently owned by the same party and that the inventor named in the application is the prior inventor under 35 U.S.C. 104, together with a terminal disclaimer in accordance with 37 CFR 1.321(c). For applications filed on or after November 29, 1999, this rejection might also be overcome by showing that the subject matter of the reference and the claimed invention were, at the time the invention was made, owned by the same person or subject to an obligation of assignment to the same person. See MPEP § 706.02(I)(1) and § 706.02(I)(2).

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 37-42, 52 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. Each of the following applies in all occurrences.

The preamble of claim 37 is inconsistent with the last step of the claim.

Note that compounds have activities, not abilities or capabilities. Inc claim 38
"the farnesyl transferase composition" lacks antecedent basis. IN claim 38
"farnesyl:protein" is queried. In claim 38 the sequence should be spelled out in

Art Unit: 1651

conventional terminology. Claim 40 includes farnesyl pyrophosphate which is not found in claim 37 from which it depends.

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Brown (5,141,851) is a related patent.

Brown (5,420,245) teaches inhibitors of farnesyl transferase.

Brown (5,976,851) teaches inhibitors of farnesyl transferase.

Marsters (5,532,359) teaches inhibitors of ras farnesyl transferase.

Barbacid (5,185,248) teaches identifying inhibitors of farnesyl transferase.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ralph Gitomer whose telephone number is (571) 272-0916. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Wityshyn can be reached on (571) 272-0926. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 1651

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Ralph Gitomer Primary Examiner Art Unit 1651

Kalone

RALPH GITOMER PRIMARY EXAMINER GROUP 1200